

Part 1 - train parameter changes, appointing an RU as an Applicant

[09:03] FELIX BARTOLOME ALONSO

Good morning, I will have to leave the meeting at some moment and come back asap. Anyway my colleagues Laura will stay connected.

[09:04] Herbert Leupers

I will use the chance to say for a special Hello to Matteo and Sajd

[09:04] Ivona Haban

Dear participants,

in order to keep this event in the informational format, please:

1. keep your camera turned off
 2. keep your microphone on mute the whole time
 3. place your questions only in the chat
- PCS team will answer your questions promptly
 - the transcript of chat will be attached to the CMS event (

[Changes in the train parameters, appointing RU as an Applicant, introduction to TOM | CMS RNE](#)

- raised hands will be disregarded unless we reached the Q&A part of the meeting

The session will be recorded and the link will be attached to the CMS event.

Changes in the train parameters, appointing RU as an Applicant, introduction to TOM | CMS RNE

[09:16] Herbert Leupers

Highest planned speed separated in Passengers and Gods Trains?

[09:16] Per-Åke Wörn (Gäst)

Are all mandatory fields?

[09:17] Ivona Haban

Per-Åke Wörn (Guest)

Are all mandatory fields?

All are optional.

[09:18] Ivona Haban

Herbert Leupers

Highest planned speed separated in Passengers and Gods Trains?

They are the fields in both Passenger and Cargo dossiers.

[09:18] Herbert Leupers

But use the IM different highest speed for Passengers and Gods Traiuns?

[09:23] Ivona Haban

Herbert Leupers

But use the IM different highest speed for Passengers and Gods Traiuns?

Unless I understood your question wrong -it's one speed for one train type. It is up the IM to inform the RA about the maximum allowed speed. If the IM makes a distinction between the traffic types, it's up to the IM. *In PCS it is one input field, and one dossier is anyhow only one train type.*

[09:42] Soldini Matteo (I-NAT-IAD-FTE)

I have a question:

if the not RU Applicant transfers the editing right to appointed RU for submitting Path Modification, who will switch the dossier from one phase to another phase ?

1. the not RU Applicant or
2. the appointed RU

[09:44] Ivona Haban

Soldini Matteo (I-NAT-IAD-FTE) (External)

I have a question: if the not RU Applicant transfers the editing right to appointed RU for submitting Path Modification, who will switch the dossier from one phase to another phase ? the not RU Applicant or the appointed RU Thank you.

Promotions always stay in the hands of the Applicant. In PM Appointed RU with transferred rights only has the editing rights on his sub-path, no control over the dossier.

[09:44] Soldini Matteo (I-NAT-IAD-FTE)

Merci dear Ivona. 😊

[09:44] Maglajlic Seid (I-NAT-IAD-FTE - Extern) (Guest)

Additional question related to non-RU Applicant: does PCS require that non-RU-Applicant has the Company Code (necessary for TAF/TAP based communication)?

[09:45] Herbert Leupers
Ivona Haban

Unless I understood your question wrong -it's one speed for one train. It is up to the IM to inform the RA about the maximum allowed speed. If the IM makes a distinction between the traffic types, it's up to the IM.

Thank you. I think, in Germany this information (max allowed speed on track) is not an interesting information for our users. But the IM can send it, that's fine.

[09:46] Ivona Haban
Herbert Leupers

Thank you. I think, in Germany this information (max allowed speed on track) is not an interesting information for our users. But the IM can send it, that's fine.

As it is an optional field, it can also stay empty.

[09:47] Nicolas Jasinski
Maglajlic Seid (I-NAT-IAD-FTE - Extern)

Additional question related to non-RU Applicant: does PCS require that non-RU-Applicant has the Company Code (necessary for TAF/TAP based communication)?

Yes, because all agencies having a PCS account need to have a company code.

[09:47] Maglajlic Seid (I-NAT-IAD-FTE - Extern) (Guest)

Nicolas Jasinski thanks!

[09:48] Ondřej Kuběna
Maglajlic Seid (I-NAT-IAD-FTE - Extern)

Additional question related to non-RU Applicant: does PCS require that non-RU-Applicant has the Company Code (necessary for TAF/TAP based communication)?

In my opinion, it must be mandatory for ALL companies in PCS, regardless their role (RA, RU, IM, other)

[09:49] Soldini Matteo (I-NAT-IAD-FTE)

I have another question:

can you explain again for which reason the "not RU Applicant" can not assign the RU already in the Open Phase and only starting from Harmonization Phase ?

[09:49] Van Der Cruyssen Freddy

can ECR read the whole dossier or only his assigned sub-paths ?

[09:49] Ondřej Kuběna
Nicolas Jasinski

Yes, because all agencies having a PCS account need to have a company code.

Will RNE do any activity for fulfilling this need in current PCS? There are still active companies without UIC code.

[09:49] Soldini Matteo (I-NAT-IAD-FTE)

Dear Ivona, I have understood right?

all the submitted questions/answers in the chat will be collected and published?

[09:50] Christian Bernard

Hello Maté, FYI change of denomination for ECR. Officially now DB Cargo France

[09:51] Ivona Haban
Van Der Cruyssen Freddy (External)

can ECR read the whole dossier or only his assigned sub-paths ?

The whole dossier.

[09:52] Ivona Haban

Christian Bernard

Hello Maté, FYI change of denomination for ECR. Officially now DB Cargo France

Thank you for the info, if you can send a document which confirms it to support.pcs@rne.eu, that would be great.

[09:52] Ivona Haban

Soldini Matteo (I-NAT-IAD-FTE) (External)

Dear Ivona, I have understood right? all the submitted questions/answers in the chat will be collected and published?

Correct.

[09:52] Van Der Cruyssen Freddy

if Infrabel splits the answer in 2 IM sub-paths, Non RU applicant can assign separately two different RA

[09:53] Ivona Haban

Soldini Matteo (I-NAT-IAD-FTE) (External)

I have another question: can you explain again for which reason the "not RU Applicant" can not assign the RU already in the Open Phase and only starting from Harmonization Phase ?

Because the appointing business is for each territory individually. And in the Open phase involved applicants or RUs don't have access to the dossier yet.

[09:54] Nicolas Jasinski

Ondřej Kuběna

Will RNE do any activity for fulfilling this need in current PCS? There are still active companies without UIC code.

We do. Active agencies without a company code have been contacted over the last weeks. As per today less than 5 agencies do not have a code but we are in contact with them and the topic is being checked.

[09:54] Ivona Haban

Van Der Cruyssen Freddy (External)

if Infrabel splits the answer in 2 IM sub-paths, Non RU applicant can assign separately two different RA

Correct. One sub-path = one appointment. It can be the same RU for all sub-paths or different ones for each individual sub-path.

[09:56] Van Der Cruyssen Freddy

will IM receive notification what RA has been assigned in active TT ?

[09:56] Herbert Leupers

Van Der Cruyssen Freddy

if Infrabel splits the answer in 2 IM sub-paths, Non RU applicant can assign separately two different RA

An interesting question. Will it still be the same train? Or such a train with different participants per Path must be splitted in different trains. I hope we'll agree with the last solution.

[09:57] Ivona Haban

Van Der Cruyssen Freddy (External)

will IM receive notification what RA has been assigned in active TT ?

Only if it changes. Example: One RU A is appointed to the Sub-path 1 in Infrabel territory and is visible all the time to IMs. But once we are already in Active TT and Applicant decides to change the RU to RU B, Infrabel will be notified about the change.

[09:59] MARIA VALDES MENENDEZ

So, RU involved will have access to the paths assigned to other RU even if they are competitors. I mean, in this case SNCF Fret would be able to see the activity of ECR and viceversa, right?

[09:59] Bouman, Peter PA

in this example: since you added a sub path in Belgium, InfraBel had to add manually a RU again - with mail traffic and necessary acceptance by Lineas as a consequence. This will happen regularly,

f.i when dealing with TCRs. Wouldn't it be possible to address the RU that were mentioned in the requested calendar automatically as a basis for the IM allocation?

[09:59] Ivona Haban

Herbert Leupers

An interesting question. Will it still be the same train? Or such a train with different participants per Path must be splitted in different trains. I hope we'll agree with the last solution.

In general one sub-path in PCS dossiers is one path request. And we always advise that different trains should be split in different dossiers and not make one dossier extremely complicated.

[10:00] Ivona Haban

MARIA VALDES MENENDEZ

So, RU involved will have access to the paths assigned to other RU even if they are competitors. I mean, in this case SNCF Fret would be able to see the activity of ECR and viceversa, right?

Yes, appointed RU will get full reading rights to the whole dossier.

[10:01] MARIA VALDES MENENDEZ

Thank you!

[10:02] Ivona Haban

Bouman, Peter PA (External)

in this example: since you added a sub path in Belgium, InfraBel had to add manually a RU again - with mail traffic and necessary acceptance by Lineas as a consequence. This will happen regularly, f.i when dealing with TCRs. Wouldn't it be possible to address the RU that were mentioned in the reque...

As Mate said at the beginning, let's use the feature for at least 6 months. Then we will collect all improvement proposals and we can extend the functionalities.

[10:04] Soldini Matteo (I-NAT-IAD-FTE)

Good approach

Thank you Peter for the statement and Ivona for the answer.

Let's use it, and improve it based on super users proposals (technically) and legal restrictions

[10:05] Ondřej Kuběna

May RA change the nomination of RU during Path modification process? If so, in which phases?

Answered by Mate during Q&A: No. RU can be changed in the Active TT, but not once Path Modification process starty. But Applicant can always withdraw the dossier from the Path Modification, change the RU (+ transfer rights) and start the Path Modification process with the new RU.

[10:07] Bouman, Peter PA

it was very clear, thanks for the perfect demo. That shows much better what you've explained before.

[10:08] Maglajlic Seid (I-NAT-IAD-FTE - Extern) (Guest)

Again - does Sibelit have Company Code?

[10:08] Ondřej Kuběna
Bouman, Peter PA

it was very clear, thanks for the perfect demo. That shows much better what you've explained before.

I fully agree. Thanks!

[10:08] Van Der Cruyssen Freddy

has this stream been tested in New path request process TT2023 ??

[10:09] Nicolas Jasinski
Maglajlic Seid (I-NAT-IAD-FTE - Extern)

Again - does Sibelit have Company Code?

Yes

[10:09] Van Der Cruyssen Freddy

and in combination with RFC ?

[10:10] Christoph Rüegg

Can the example shown also be handled/treated with TSI TAF/TAP messages?

[10:18] Nicolas Jasinski

[10:10] Christoph Rüegg

Can the example shown also be handled/treated with TSI TAF/TAP messages?

Theoretically yes. PCS WSDL is prepared, but our TIL mapping tool has not yet been prepared.

[10:10] Ivona Haban

Van Der Cruyssen Freddy (External)

and in combination with RFC ?

To have RFC phases in addition does not change anything. The feature is not yet deployed and we are still in the testing phase, so we are "safe".

[10:11] Ivona Haban

Van Der Cruyssen Freddy (External)

has this stream been tested in New path request process TT2023 ??

And this is a "yes". 😊

[10:12] Ivona Haban

We will continue at 11:00.

[10:12] Maglajlic Seid (I-NAT-IAD-FTE - Extern) (Guest)

Thanks Máté, thanks to RNE team!

[10:13] Defossez Géraldine

Thank you very much !

[10:13] Fernand SCHMITZ

Wish you all the best and good health in first place. I won't participate in the second part

[10:14] Ivona Haban

Fernand SCHMITZ

Wish you all the best and good health in first place. I won't participate in the second part

Thank you for joining! 😊 All the best for you, too

PART 2 – introduction to TOM (Train Object Model) and the route object

[11:14] Van Der Cruyssen Freddy

route object IM: Handover, route object RU also ??

[11:16] Nicolas Jasinski

Yes, the route object will be applicable to IM sand RUs and the handover point is included.

[11:24] Miklós Szlatényi

"RO variant 00 is reserved" for who or what?

[11:27] Nicolas Jasinski

Miklós Szlatényi

"RO variant 00 is reserved" for who or what?

This question will be answered at the end of the presentation.

Answer by Mate during Q&A: It's not yet clear. It might be useful in the future and that's why the decision is made by the groups involved in TOM project, but we cannot tell you the reason.

[11:40] Christoph Rüegg

I'm sorry I can't stay until the end of the presentation. I have to leave the meeting at 11:45.

[11:43] Ivona Haban

Christoph Rüegg (External)

I'm sorry I can't stay until the end of the presentation. I have to leave the meeting at 11:45.

Thank you for joining for the majority of time. And we hope it was useful for you.

[11:43] Herbert Leupers

I think, not only the 00 is not available, the 66 cannot be used too. The route 66 already exists. 😊

[11:43] Maglajlic Seid (I-NAT-IAD-FTE - Extern) (Guest)

AFK 5 min

[11:47] Maglajlic Seid (I-NAT-IAD-FTE - Extern) (Guest)

back

[11:56] Van Der Cruyssen Freddy

how will Rolling Planning multi -annual fit in this ?

Answered by Mate during Q&A: We don't know that yet. We don't know yet how we will handle train IDs in the rolling planning, either.

[11:57] Soldini Matteo (I-NAT-IAD-FTE)

I have just a question

[11:57] Soldini Matteo (I-NAT-IAD-FTE)

Do you need to put in place a testing session with RUs, Applicant as Hupac, Sibelit, IMs and RFCs ?

[11:57] Soldini Matteo (I-NAT-IAD-FTE)

for not RU Applicant I mean.

[11:58] Schmied Norbert (PV)

I'm going to the next meeting at 12:00 therefore I must leave the presentation. Tx's for the presentation and information and have a nice day.

[11:58] Soldini Matteo (I-NAT-IAD-FTE)

We FTE would support you, if you consider it necessary

[11:58] Ivona Haban

Soldini Matteo (I-NAT-IAD-FTE) (External)

Do you need to put in place a testing session with RUs, Applicant as Hupac, Sibelit, IMs and RFCs ?

The PCS Test Group will receive the info about it. But we didn't want to integrate it to this wide group.

[11:59] Soldini Matteo (I-NAT-IAD-FTE)

Thank you Ivona

[11:59] Soldini Matteo (I-NAT-IAD-FTE)

Route Object will be implemented in 2022 for TT 2024 ?

[12:00] Heinrich Wehrmeyer

Máté Bak thank you for the presentation. Can you please share the presentation in the group (or the link for a download)? Have a nice day!

[12:00] Máté Bak

<https://cms.rne.eu/pcs/pcs-events/changes-train-parameters-appointing-ru-applicant-introduction-tom>

[12:02] Herbert Leupers

Thank you so much for this presentation, Mate, in such a good way. The small child 🧒 from Grindelwald 1998 is grown up to an very strong international manager and the best for the future.

[12:02] Van Der Cruyssen Freddy

bye, bye

[12:02] Ondřej Kuběna

Thank you for the well-arranged presentation.