



Task Force – Concept for a project European TM Network

30th September 2020

TELCO

Minutes - Draft

List of participants

- | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| 1. Stefano Castro (SC; RNE MB) | 8. Antonio Gasbarro (AG; RFI) |
| 2. Christian Wörmann (CW; DB Netz) | 9. Robin De Sutter (RDS; Infrabel) |
| 3. Simon Strack (SS; DB Netz) | 10. Dariush Kowsar (DK; SNCF Réseau) |
| 4. Erik van der Linden (EvdL; ProRail) | 11. Samuel Schauss (SaS; SNCF Réseau) |
| 5. Michael Zeitler (MZ; ÖBB Infra) | 12. Peter Šišolák (PŠ; RNE) |
| 6. Reto Lanz (RL; SBB Infra) | 13. Juraj Maliaček (JM, RNE) |
| 7. Riccardo Ioncoli (RI; RFI) | |

Start 12:30	
1	Opening, Agenda
Reporting	Christian Wörmann, Peter Šišolák
Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CW and PŠ opened the meeting and welcomed participants. Participants introduced themselves. PŠ informed about the change on the position of RNE MB responsible member for TM and TPM, who from 1st October is Mr Stefano Castro. CW described the main goal of this meeting, which is to discuss / agree on what should be the part of the project proposal, which kind of deliverables should be expected and how the project should be organised.
2	Project background
Reporting	Christian Wörmann, Simon Strack
Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CW and PŠ informed about the next RNE MB meeting on 13th November 2020, where the Project proposal should be discussed before its submission to the RNE GA in 9 December; The deadline to have a project proposal prepared is around 23rd October 2020; PŠ presented the organizational part of the project steps, the schema of the basic procedure of the project management at RNE, as well as the template for project proposal, which will be used for members comments and updates, afterwards he will include the Project proposal to RNE CMS ; SS presented the situation concerning the ongoing revision of EU Regulation 913/2010. In addition, he described the EC’s goal related to the “Eurocontrol in railways”, which is to address and to improve the several aspects of cross-border

	<p>traffic in order to increase its attractiveness. The ongoing revision process provides the opportunity to develop a sector's concept for European Traffic Management that works for the IMs instead of just having to adopt potentially unfavourable standards given by the EU. Currently, we should focus on is the "Optimisation of operations". Therefore the IM's concept on European traffic management should then also be presented towards the EC to be included in the revision of Regulation 913/2010.</p>
Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ SC expressed its support to any initiative leading to international traffic management improvement, however he pointed out that RNE is not a lobbying organisation, The position of IMs on Eurocontrol has been already discussed and agreed in CER, that produced a sector position paper on the revision of Regulation 913/2010. In such a position paper CER members agreed that the principle of aggregating the function of international rail traffic supervision at European level is to be considered as a long term option. ▪ SaS proposed to add into the project the option of comparing the currently used tools in bilateral-traffic management communication; ▪ RI pointed out the difference between air and railway traffic (international vs. national flows). The idea of having Eurocontrol for railway traffic, as it is in air traffic seems to be not a optimal solution. ▪ RI recommended to start with corridor pilots i(e.g. develop the corridor approach as a starting point), but first solving the task of having English-speaking dispatchers is inevitable; ▪ RI informed, that for RFI this is not a priority project, although could be very important for the railway traffic operations. ▪ SS states that corridor pilots have already been started or are about to start (see Brenner Task Force or RFC 5). This project does not aim to end these pilots. The pilots and their results have to be integrated into the project which has the goal to a concept for increased collaboration of the national traffic centers and with that, a long term vision and migration plan for traffic management in Europe. ▪ EvdL pointed out the need of select the specific traffic management processes and promote them to the "next – international" level.
3	Project proposal
Reporting	all
Information Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The discussion about project proposal preparation: What are the goals vs. what it is expected to be used as a project output; ▪ CW pointed out that one of the main expected deliverable of the project should be the description of the role and functions of a European TM Network. It should be one boundary condition that the results of the project are in line with the CER position paper. ▪ MZ agreed with the idea of harmonisation of the TM processes, but currently supported the idea of RI for breaking the language barriers with the highest priority; ▪ MZ proposed to involve more IMs to this project ("European" TM Network); ▪ CW informed, that this Task Force task is to prepare the project proposal. All IMs were invited to join the TaskForce as discussed in the HLG TM. ▪ PŠ proposed to check the ERA view on the railway Eurocontrol and presented the ERA approach (to create the "Coordinating body"). What can be used for this project proposal is highlighted in the presentation, which is the part of this Minutes. ▪ In summary, on what the project proposal should be focussed on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ EvdL – in the beginning, focus on the freight trains, later consider passenger trains as well; ○ SS – it needs to distinguish between the Eurocontrol aims and the need to set up this project; The target of this project is not to setup a Eurocontrol for railway, it is to develop a concept on how national traffic management centers could increase collaboration and information exchange among each

	<p>other.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ MZ – Eurocontrol is about ad-hoc/TM processes; ○ RI – the project should cover also the description, what is the framework of the network in case of EU traffic control. ○ SC – continue with the topic, keeping in mind the CER position paper. RNE MB ready to discuss any project proposal in the respect of RNE rules and of the split of responsibilities between RNE and the category associations.
4	Summary and Next steps
Reporting	Christian Wörmann, Simon Strack
Information Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The next meeting is planned for 15th October (10:00 – 12:00). ▪ Documents from today’s meeting will be sent out. Members are asked for their comments.
5	Questions / Open Issues
Reporting	All
Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The final result, if this will become an RNE project depends on the RNE MB and the GA.. The project proposal will be prepared.
END – 14:30	

For the Minutes:
30/09/2020